## APPEAL NO. 022889 FILED DECEMBER 31, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on October 15, 2002. The hearing officer determined that the compensable injury sustained by respondent 2 (claimant) on (first date of injury), does not include an injury to her right knee consisting of chondromalacia or degenerative arthritis; and that the compensable injury sustained by the claimant on (second date of injury), includes an injury to her right knee consisting of chondromalacia and degenerative arthritis. The appellant (carrier 1) contends that the hearing officer's decision is against the great weight of the credible evidence. Respondent 1 (carrier 2) urges affirmance. The appeal file contains no response from the claimant.

## **DECISION**

Affirmed.

Whether either of the claimant's compensable injuries includes an injury to the right knee consisting of chondromalacia and/or degenerative arthritis was a factual question for the hearing officer to resolve. Section 410.165(a) provides that the contested case hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence as well as of the weight and credibility that is to be given the evidence. It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701, 702 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). It was the hearing officer's prerogative to believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness, including that of the claimant. Aetna Insurance Company v. English, 204 S.W.2d 850 (Tex. Civ. App.-Fort Worth 1947, no writ). Nothing in our review of the record indicates that the hearing officer's decision is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175 (Tex. 1986).

The hearing officer's decision and order is affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier (carrier 1) is **ROYAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

## CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 800 BRAZOS, COMMODORE 1, SUITE 750 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier (carrier 2) is **TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY OF CONNECTICUT** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

CT CORPORATION 350 NORTH ST. PAUL STREET DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.

	Chris Cowan Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	11 3
Susan M. Kelley Appeals Judge	
Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge	